

I HAVE A PROBLEM.

BECAUSE OF MY PROBLEM, YOU HAVE A PROBLEM

(you just don't realize it)

I am a farmer/rancher and I have a problem. Unfortunately, my problem affects everyone on the planet in ways that no one can begin to imagine unless it is carefully explained to them and "the rest of the story", as Paul Harvey would put it, is revealed to them. My problem (and yours) is compounded because I am an American farmer/rancher. What happens in America, sends ripple affects throughout the world. No one is left untouched.

I am in my 49th year as a farmer/rancher. Those 49 years have been extraordinary, to say the least! On the one hand, I have been afforded the experience of serving a term as a national director of a major farm organization and have sat in on meetings few ever experience and even fewer experience the content and discussions within those meetings. On the darker side of those years, I have also faced the real possibility of spending 5 years in prison for taking a stand against true evil. In a world where "evil is called good, and good is evil", that is not a good position to find yourself in.

What I have learned in those 49 years is this...my 49 years have been spent constantly dealing with a major problem that is shared by all agricultural producers (even worldwide). The irony is that most ag producers are oblivious to the problem they all face and seem content to ignore their common malady. They would seemingly rather focus their attention on the distractions of the day...sports, amusements, etc. But it gets worse! The real crux of the problem is that my problem is shared by everyone on the planet (except for maybe the elite few of the world). **THEY JUST DON'T REALIZE THAT THEY SHARE IN MY PROBLEM.** My problem becomes their problem by default. "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge. Because you have rejected knowledge, I will also reject you from being my priest." (Hosea 4:6)

Many of us are fully aware of the problem of the Federal Reserve and the associated "debt based" monetary system that is holding all of us captive and causing us greater and greater distress. The problem that I have is at the least a "sister issue" or maybe even a "mother issue" predating the debt monetary system. If (or should I say when) the Federal Reserve finally runs its course and collapses, then my problem will need to be addressed "center stage" lest we fall into something far worse than the "Fed" (if that is possible). In fact, is it not possible that we are NOW witness of the collapse of the "Fed" and the "far worse" in what is becoming known as "The Great Reset"?

To explain my problem is a difficult task. It is a big, big subject being it involves the entire world. To the average person, that would indicate that the subject is unknowable and the study of it should be left to the "experts". How has that worked out so far? Yet the subject is really quite simple if we employ simple logic and do so honestly without allowing self-interest and greed to enter in. We need to "Seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His Righteousness" (not our own). Only then will "other things be added". In a broad sense, "the Kingdom", is a compilation made up of all the Laws of God interacting as

one. When all of God's Laws are in full force and effect, we will see perfection (Paradise). Take away any part of God's Law and we will enjoy something less than perfection.

I would like to address just one part of God's Law and that is the subject of "Economics". I can already hear the boos! Economics? Don't even bring up economics. What do I need to know (or want to know) about economics? Just pass the beer and turn on the football game. Let me answer this complaint at the start. If you don't like the Federal Reserve and the debt monetary system, then you probably will get a double wakeup call when you "wake up" to the "great reset" brought to you by the "new world order". I seriously doubt that the "experts" of economics, money, and banking are seriously concerned about your (and my) likes and dislikes. Their business is in livestock (chattel) and we are their livestock! So please, indulge me for a moment of your time. Your children may thank you someday.

As I stated, I am a farmer/rancher and that is the perspective I will bring forth...from the grass roots level.

First of all I will start with a question. A simple one. What is wealth? For most people, wealth is money...lots of it! But wait! Money can't be wealth. Money is merely a medium of exchange. So the true wealth isn't the money...wealth is the "thing" that is being exchanged. In Gen 13: 2 it states "Now Abraham was very rich in...money???. No. "Now Abraham was very rich in livestock, in silver, and in gold." Tangible things that came from the earth that God created (for man's "economy").

Another simple question. How does earned income differ from government subsidized money? Both spend the same and buy the same things. The difference lies in the fact that earned income doesn't need to be paid back. If we get a government subsidy we quickly lose sight of this simple fact...earned income doesn't need to be paid back...subsidies do. It is called "public debt".

What I hope to prove to you is this...God tied "economics" to the land. When a civilization is started, the first basic need is food. Closely behind that is shelter and clothing. When this North American continent was settled, this was the case. This was their "economy"; primitive though it was. Without food, it would have been all over...a broken "economy". They would have all died. However, in our present society, food has "always been on the shelves" and the food issue is almost totally taken for granted. Because of this, food (and the food production sector of our economy) is hardly even considered to be a part of the "economic" system. It's always "just been there". Yet, the million dollar combines and ¾ million dollar tractors are not given away. They (the combines and tractors) are considered as part of the "economy" and must be paid for by either earned income or government subsidy (no one apparently cares how). There is an outstanding book written by R.E. McMaster Jr. entitled "Wealth for All" subtitle "Economics". In it on page 5 he states this: "When an economic system gets out of balance, and there is no longer parity, no economic equality (fair play) between the rural country and the city, and when the city has devoured its country host (bankrupted the rural country through city welfare and the creation of money), the city then dies, too. This happens at the end of a civilization cycle. ***This is why world cities are historically both the highest achievement, as well as the culmination, of a mature civilization.*** It's "truth in tension" realized. We are now at the end of a 510year civilization cycle for Western civilization. We have some critical choices which must be made. It's either radical change for Western civilization or revolution and poverty. Take your pick. It will be one or the other." (end of quote) From what this quote tells us and what we are seeing before our eyes is that city people are increasingly fleeing the rioting and dangerous (though yet opulent) cities and

moving to the more safe and peaceful life afforded them in the rural country. A question: Is this similar to the exodus of Jerusalem by the Christians just prior to 70 AD? I guess what I am suggesting is; is there a judgment of God coming and certain people (Christian?) intuitively know it?

Another thing to consider is this: I am told that in the days Christ walked the earth, approximately 98% of the population was agrarian and directly involved in food production. (Remember, the first basic need is food; that was their "economy".) When I started farming in 1973, just over 2% of the population was involved in production agriculture. Today, that figure is 1.4% and still dropping. Therefore, history teaches us that when the first basic need of an adequate food supply is met, only then can there be a further division of labor to include the finer things of life. (Note: The "experts" are now informing us that adequate food may become a concern in the near future. Whether this "threat" is real or contrived, what can we expect to happen to our "economy"?) Perhaps an even more chilling thought might be to consider how severe a "spanking" our righteous God will impose on His disobedient, stiff-necked people that might cause them to repent and return to His altogether righteous Laws?

Just one more thing to ponder...if you go into a Walmart store (or any other), can you point out anything in the store that is for sale that did not come from the physical earth that we live on? Can you think of anything? I can't. So it stands to reason that the first basic lesson of Kingdom economics is that Yahweh tied all economics to His creation, the earth...after all, everything we have or ever will have ultimately originated in the earth we stand on. We ourselves are made from "the dust of the earth". Of God's economy, approximately 30% of those raw materials are in the form of minerals and 70% are in the form of raw material products. It is for God's purpose that he instructs us that we labor 6 days and rest one day to grow, tend, refine, rearrange, separate, and generally take dominion of all those raw materials for our own happiness and economic wellbeing. This is His provision for us. He who does not work, does not eat.

However, not all of us have access to every one of the raw materials we have come to require in our state of civilization. And even if we did have access to all these raw materials, how would we find time to fashion all those raw materials into whatever usable fashion we desire in our present state of civilization? Then, let us not forget that our first needs are food, shelter, and clothing. How do we grow our own food, provide for our own shelter, and cloth ourselves and our families while maintaining the state of civilization we presently have? This is where division of labor comes in. As civilizations such as ours mature, fewer and fewer people are required in actual food production...one farmer can feed not only his own family, but many, many other families. This frees up labor for other pursuits for a more enjoyable life for all.

However, with division of labor comes distribution of goods and services. How to accomplish access to the basic necessities of life such as food, shelter, and clothing that everyone needs? A medium of exchange is necessary so that purchases and sales of the whole host of products can be accomplished to make those products readily accessible to all who have a desire and the purchasing power to obtain them. Then enters the problem of fair exchange ratios. What if 2 people exchange goods and/or services and the one person enjoys a 10% advantage over the other person in terms of labor and investment, what will be the result? After 10 transactions, the one person will own the other person's means of livelihood. Therefore just weights and measures become a necessity. These are big, big problems to overcome. **That is the purpose of governments of men.** They are to adhere to the Laws of

God so that one man (or group of men) do not unjustly take advantage of another man (or group of men).

With that introduction, let me continue by stating my problem (which is also your problem). My problem is with the government's "cheap food policy:". Just how expensive is the government's "cheap food policy" after you consider all the usury (interest) costs paid to the bankers by not only farmers, but by every consumer as well? Let me assure you that your food bill would be "cheaper" if there was no longer a "cheap food policy" carried out by your government. City people decry (rightly so) farmer "subsidy" payments, not knowing or being fully informed regarding how they came about. So this is "the rest of the story". This is how your government mismanaged the entire economy of the United States (and the world) in favor of the bankers and their debt/usury system..

In 1973, when I started farming, I was quite naïve. I believed wholeheartedly that America was the best and greatest nation on earth. It was not perfect, but life was good. I had just served 6 years in the US Navy and was returning home with my wife and family to the family farm. The first thing I noticed was that we had fewer neighbors than before I had left and a lot of farmsteads were abandoned or removed. Our local town had lost a fair number of businesses as well. Little did I know that I was about to embark on a learning journey that would shake my previous misconceptions to the core. I was about to learn why the Bible speaks of wolves in sheep's clothing and how it applies to the government of the nation that I believed in and loved.

In about 1975, I was exposed to a publication (published in 1962) put out by a think tank of government which was called The Committee for Economic Development. (It should be noted that all present day "economic development" schemes are derived from these same think tanks.) This think tank, commissioned by the United States government entitled their findings for agriculture "An Adaptive Program for Agriculture". This think tank, by the way, studied every facet of life in America, and printed their recommendations. For instance, in their plan for education, you will find details even including the blueprints of the actual school buildings. The findings of this group in the area of agriculture were very simple. Too many farmers! They called for "Policies and programs to attract excess resources from use in farm production". As you read their statement, you find that the "excess resources" was me! Their report showed what the price of the major grains and livestock had to be to bankrupt farmers and remove them from their land. Another part of their program was to retrain us for jobs in the city. I have a riddle...what is a city? Answer: It is the home of displaced farmers! Just look back a few generations...most of your ancestors were agrarians. Why was Adam created? There was no one to till the earth.

This is how the program worked (and is still working). Farm Commodity prices were lowered to below cost of production. (There is a cost of production and combines and tractors are only a part of the costs.) I won't go into how they accomplished this feat (lowering farm prices), but it too was diabolical. Then a subsidy was offered farmers that would allow them to attain the needed capital to finance their operations and stay in business. What was not stated in the CED report was this: Most farmers back then (in 1962) were fiercely independent. They didn't like "free money" or government interference. But when farm prices were below cost of production, how does one continue farming? They were in a catch 22. Continue farming away from government subsidies and go broke or be humbled and beg your daily bread from the government's table. Damned if you do, damned if you don't!

Meanwhile, the government used the subsidy payment like a governor on a tractor. Lower the subsidy and the farm foreclosures began...you might remember the Willie Nelson farm aid concerts...raise the subsidies when farmers rose up en masse and hotly protested and the foreclosures magically faded away (until the next cycle). (The goal of CED...remove farmers...)

Also, a "Soil Bank" program was instituted that became appealing to older farmers. They could enroll their farms in the program and plant grass on their acreages (ecosystems) and be paid to take land out of farm production. In most cases, the farmer could make more money "farming the government" than he could by producing crops or livestock for sale. Of course, these older farmers would then most often sell their farm machinery, retire, and leave production agriculture. (Again, the goal of CED...remove farmers...)

At that time, I took offense at their conspiracy. Do you see how your government is playing the wolf in sheep's clothing? On the overt side ("sheep" side -open- for the world to see), they are the nation's (and world's) savior. The farmers produce too much (surpluses)(that is another story) and the price goes down to below cost of production. The government "benevolently" steps in and subsidizes farmers to keep them in business. They then provide meals for children in schools and food stamps for the displaced homeless poor (that they created, by the way), foreign aid, and a whole host of other dogooder services. Yet on the covert side (wolf side) they caused the foreclosures and displacement of not only farmers, but also every individual and family is affected. (As I intend to show later.) Today, I recognize that our God keeps His Word. He will spew us off the land. To show just how far our God will go, in about 1984, I had opportunity to go to Malfunction Junction (Washington DC) as part of a major farm lobbying group. At that time, we happened to run across the Chairman of the Committee for Economic Development, Herbert Stein, slithering about the halls of congress. He was asked if CED had attained their goal in removing farmers. His response was "No, still more have to go". He was then asked, "How many more"? He responded, "I don't know, but many".

Several years later, I attended an organic farming seminar where the main speaker was a professor from the University of Missouri by the name of Heffernin. His first words were, "Fellows, I have some bad news for you. They intend to eliminate every single farmer in America". A quiet fell over the room until one of the attendees asked, "What do they intend to do for food?" This insider's response was, "They intend to import it".

Now a question. If we are forced to import our food, having no farmer/rancher, what happens if the exporter happens to be our enemy? What happens to our economy?

I have now demonstrated to you what your government has made "public policy". Now let me demonstrate how an "economy" should work. (For greater detail, I would suggest the book "Raw Material Economics" by Charles Walters Jr.) I have a little booklet called "Trapped" by a man named Erhard Phingsten. In the 1960's Erhard was one of the three most sought after speakers in the nation, I am told. The illustration he used bears repeating...

"In 1951, when farmers were receiving full, fair prices for their farm production, the tractor manufacturers of this nation manufactured and sold 353 thousand farm tractors. Ten years later, at decidedly lower farm prices, those same manufacturers produced and sold 171 thousand. That was less than half of what they were selling when the farmers were receiving a fair farm price. It was 182,000 less."

"Now, I'd like to take that 182 thousand tractors that were never made, or never sold and take them through the rest of the economy to see how they affected the other segments."

"That 182,000 tractors, of course, is that much business. They represent millions upon millions of dollars that no implement dealer in any rural town in the United States ever made one single penny on. Nor did any serviceman ever service them. So this was wages, profit, and earned income that was lost because the farmers had not received a fair price."

"Those 182,000 tractors represent over 1000 trainloads of tractors that no railroad man and no railroad company, not even the Penn Central, received one single penny for hauling."

"Those were wages, business, profit, and earned income that was gone because the farmers did not receive a fair price. They represent millions upon millions of man hours of work that no working man or factory in Minneapolis, Racine, Davenport, Moline, or Louisville, ever received one single penny for producing."

"It was endless hours of work that no working man did and business that the factories didn't do. It was profit, wages, earned income gone because the farmers had been underpaid. It represents threequarters of a million tractor tires that no working man or rubber company in Akron, Ohio, or anywhere else, ever received one single penny for producing. It was business, work, and wages, profit, and earned income that was gone because the farmers did not receive a fair price."

"It represents 182,000 tractors worth of steel that no steel company in Gary, Indiana or anywhere else ever received one single penny for producing. The tractors weren't needed; it was income that was gone because the farmers had not been paid."

Tractors are only one of the thousands of items that farmers buy. Fifty-three percent of all the substandard housing in the United States is in rural America. Farmers like nice houses too, and they would have built them if they had been properly paid. So this, then, represents thousands upon thousands of homes that were never built for the same reason. No lumber company in our hometown or anyone else's ever sold one single brick or bolt for those homes, no carpenter ever built them, no electrician ever wired them, no painter ever painted them. No hardware dealer ever sold plumbing for them. It was all work, business, profit, earned income that was lost because the farmers did not receive a fair price."

"From these examples, you can see that your problem does reach into virtually every segment of this nation's economy. And it has brought about the ills that we are talking so much about within the economy today. We have destroyed earned income. When that happens, if you are going to keep an economy on an even keel, you have to substitute for earned income. That is exactly what we did. When the people no longer had money to buy out of earned income which was lost by exploiting agriculture, they were induced to buy on credit, without money. And this can be done only for a temporary period."
(End of Quote)

There was a man by the name of Carl Wilken, a farmer from Iowa, who made a lifetime study of the impact agriculture had on the national economy. (In 1933, he was employed by the United States government to make a study of the 1930 depression to see how depressions could be avoided.) The economy of every nation on earth is based on its raw materials. All other segments of the economy merely take commissions and consume those raw materials as they pass through the economy. What

Carl Wilken found was that for every one dollar that was paid to the American farmer, that one dollar generates 7 dollars of earned income by the time it gets through the national economy. It follows that for every one dollar that the agricultural producer is shortchanged, the national economy is losing 7 dollars of earned income. These shortchanged dollars must be substituted for by borrowing, not only by the farmer, but also by everyone because there is no opportunity to earn the income...it just never comes into existence. Wilken also studied other basic raw material industries such as timber, fishing, and mining. Each of these basic industries had their own multiplier factor. Agriculture ranked the highest with the multiplier of 7. If memory serves me, timber had a multiplier of 5.

What then we are talking about is that an economy must be in balance. This is referred to as a par economy or an economy at parity. I believe there are several Scriptures that bring out this concept. One is Matthew 10:10 where it states. "The workman is worthy of his hire". Also 1 Tim. 5:18 "For the Scripture saith, Thou shalt not muzzle the ox that treadeth out the corn". (Is the Lord more concerned about oxen or is he speaking about us?) Another that comes to mind is Romans 12:3 "For I say, through the grace given unto me, to every man that is among you, not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think; but to think soberly." Let me ask you; which is more important...a doctor or a plumber? A lawyer or a ditch digger? A princess or a commoner? Growing up on a farm, I can remember the stigma created by the propaganda of the day. When farm children came to school with a bit of manure on their shoes and smelled of cow, they were thought lowly of. It was regarded as a lesser profession. This ought not to be. Whenever we regard one more highly than another, we begin to make merchandise of another man's labor and we live off the fruit of his labor without properly rewarding him for his effort.

There have been several brief periods of parity in the American economy. 1910 - 1914 and in the mid 1940's early 1950's and again very briefly in 1973-1974 where certain farm prices were actually above parity. Each and every time the economy was at parity, personal and public debt immediately diminished greatly. Sometimes, debt even approached zero! As soon as a par economy was rejected, debt grew. Do you think the international bankers might have understood this? Do you think they might profit more from an economy out of balance? Hmmm? I wonder which lobbying group might have the most political clout?

There is a book entitled "Unforgiven - The Story of How America Has Exchanged Parity Agriculture for Parity War" by Charles Walters Jr. It is available through Acres USA. It is my belief that the powers within government used the information gained by Carl Wilken's work in the defeat of Germany in WWII. They used Wilken's par economy for evil purposes. With the American economy operating at full parity, all the war needs could be met with a healthy and robust economy and a satisfied work force. Once the war was won, agriculture was put on a "sliding scale", whereby parity was reduced by a percentage each year until America was once again deeply in debt.

I think this report falls into the category of Righteous Exchange of Goods and Services and possibly under Just Weights and Measures. If we are to create a Godly society (The Kingdom) without the need for a debt/usury economy, then par exchange ratios must be made known. If memory serves me, Carl Wilken advocated that the price of wheat and the price paid for labor ought to be equal. This needs to be researched. In fact, for more statistics on this, I suggest that one Googles National Organization for Raw Materials (NORM). This organization was set up to continue the work of Carl Wilken. Information on NORM could also be obtained from Acres USA.

With all the bizarre events unfolding almost daily, it sure seems to me that Yahweh is bringing about some sort of a correction in the economy (judgment on the wicked?) by drying up the river Euphrates of commerce and trade spoken of in Rev. 18:11. "And the merchants of the earth shall weep and mourn over her (the Babylonian system), for no man buyeth their merchandise anymore." The over inflated stock market may have something to do with this. It seems to me that the economy of the cities is driven off the stock market. If that should crash, the economy of the cities would fall, and with it the rest of the infrastructure. If the economy collapses, there will be little or no "money" for anything and we could find ourselves where we started in 1620. We would need to rebuild our civilization. "If the foundations be destroyed, what can the righteous do?" What is the first thing necessary to rebuild a civilization and economy (The Kingdom of God)? The basics of food, shelter, and clothing...the basic industries...carefully immersing ourselves in and adhering to the Law Word of God.