

[< back](#)

Man and Beast (subtitled: Adam and other living creatures)

Faith of the Covenant Fellowship
USA, Virginia
Ken Lent, March 2025

We are living at a time in Earth's history described in the Bible as "perilous times" (2 Timothy 3:1). This also coincides with the divine observation in Isaiah 5:20 that the time would come when evil is called good and good is called evil. There are many separate reasons that have caused this dilemma but they all come down to one thing --- the escalating spiritual blindness of the people of our planet toward the *basic concepts of creation* as set forth by The Creator. In order that people can learn to get along with each other according to God's plan for the whole Earth we need to define our terms.

Therefore this article will solely focus on the Scriptural definition of the various bipeds walking the Earth. It is not meant to denigrate any diverse distinction between certain people over others. We shall merely focus our attention upon Bible definitions and let the reader come to his/her own conclusion and opinion. When people learn to accept God's creation for what it is and agree with His plan for Earth's inhabitants only good things can happen. The modern view about racial matters is primarily one that has been forced upon people in the name of supposed "fairness and equality" founded upon atheist humanism. On the surface it sounds good but carries a death wish within it for all people. "There is a way which seemeth right unto a man, but the end thereof are the ways of death" (Proverbs 14:12) Setting aside political implications and clandestine agendas, let's purely examine how the Word of God defines the term "Man and beast" within the framework of Scripture. The texts used are the KJV. [except *Yahweh* is restored]

What do we mean by the word "Man"? What do we mean by the word "beast"?

MAN

The initial word for "man" in the Bible comes from *aw-dawm* in Hebrew referenced at Strong's Concordance # 119,120. The particular definition is "to show blood in the face, flush, turn rosy, ruddy". This is an obvious trait of the white race of people, not dark. Furthermore we have these verses as well that support the fact that the people descended from man/Adam through Jacob Israel means "white people":

“And it came to pass, that, when Abram was come into Egypt, the Egyptians beheld the woman (Sarah) that she was very fair.” (Gen.12:14)
When describing our Israelite women it means “fair/light complexioned and beautiful”.
“Who is she that looketh forth as the morning, fair as the moon ---”(Songs 6:10) Also in the book of songs we see a reference to the then prophesied Christ – “My beloved is white and ruddy, the chiefest among ten thousands.” (5:10)

When Goliath saw David we note this:

“And when the Philistine looked about, and saw David, he disdained him: for he was but a youth, and ruddy, and of a fair countenance.” (1Samuel 17:42)

When God formed man of the dust of the ground in Genesis 2:7 we see that the man is called “Adam” in verse 19. Both words Adam and man come from the Hebrew word “aw-dawm” (Strong’s #119,120) as already referenced. Adam was white. Eve being taken from Adam was therefore also white. The Bible timeline places Adam and Eve’s era at about 7000 years ago.

However, there were earlier (adamite) whites created in Genesis 1:26,27 “Let us make man in our image -- so God created man in his own image, in the image of God created He him; male and female created He them (plural, lots of “them”). Although these adamites were given dominion “over every living thing that moveth upon the Earth” (vs28), they did not have the commission that Adam 2:7 had to “dress and keep the Garden of Eden” (2:15). The time frame of the 1:26 adamites can be approximated at around 15 -20 thousand years ago. In fact among the oldest stone spearheads discovered in America are from the Clovis culture around 13000 years ago. In 2010 archaeologists matched their spearheads to Solutrean people spearheads of west and north Europe. It means that white adamites from Europe traveled to America and brought with them their craft of particularly fine spearhead stone tools/weapons.* Asiatic type “Indians” appeared in America at a different time. Liberal archaeologists have recently attempted to discredit this discovery since they realize it is politically charged. But nevertheless, there is no evidence of any adamic white people anywhere on Earth prior to approximately 15 - 20 thousand years ago, while non-adamic skeletal remains of Africa and Asia date back 100,000, 200,000 (and more) years ago. The populations of adamic 1:26 and the family descendants of later Adam and Eve of 2:7 comprise what the Bible classifies as “man/Man” (aka: white race) * [another unique possibility is that the reverse happened – that is, North America was the original home to whites who got overwhelmed by “others” and fled to northern Europe taking their crafts with them, thus the common recognition between Clovis and Solutrean spear heads. But that is a topic for way down the road sometime]

An interesting aspect of the 1:26 race of whites (adamics) is that in the ancient Hebrew text there is a difference noted between the adamites of 1:26 and Adam of 2:7. Missing from some English lexicons, the J.P. Green Interlinear Hebrew text reveals that *man* of 2:7 (Adam) is called “The Adam” (ha-awdawm) while man of 1:26 is simply “adam”. Gesenius Hebrew/Chaldee Lexicon on page 13b points out that “The Adam” of Genesis 2:7 was “the only one of his kind”, even after many of “man/men” was made in 1:26.

Racially the 1:26 adamites were white but they lacked the higher calling of spiritually governing within the chosen place of God – The Garden of Eden. This has far reaching implications that are outside the scope of this essay. But it should be mentioned that today we have societal problems with many millions of the 1:26 adamic whites who do not understand what it means to govern according to Yahweh’s laws for His Kingdom.

The various non-adamic people of the world do not descend from “adam” or “Adam”. The Holy Bible, archaeology, and anthropology, all attest to the fact that dark people were here on Earth hundreds of thousands of years before the arrival of Adam and Eve only 7000 years ago.

BEASTS – BEAST OF THE EARTH – BEAST OF THE FIELD

Question: If I were to say that all the various people on Earth are “living beings (creatures) that God placed in the world” would you take that to be a hateful insult? Or would you say “that sounds about right to me”? Well this is how God, in the original Bible text, describes the non-adamic families of people --- “living created beings of the world”. It is simply a very broad but true statement. Nothing derogatory was meant in the Hebrew language. But regrettably the King James Version and other English Bibles have substituted the word “beast” for “living created being” and an entire domino effect of controversial and bitter debates have arisen because of it.

The Scriptures don’t say a lot about the several non-adamic races of people simply for the reason that our Bible is a book to Israel, about Israel, and for Israel. The tribes of Israel descended from Adam and Eve. Yahweh said “O children of Israel -- You only have I known of all the families of the earth: therefore I will punish you for all your iniquities.” (Amos 3:1,2) “He sheweth His word unto Jacob, His statutes and His judgments unto Israel. He hath not dealt so with any nation: and as for His judgments, they have not known them. Praise ye Yahweh.” (Psalm 147:19,20) “But He (Jesus) answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel.” (Mat. 15:24)

But we do know that there are certainly more families of biped people on Earth other than Israelite whites (adamic). So where are they mentioned in the Bible, when were they created, since they are not of man/adam/Adam, and how does God classify them?

Since the Bible is a written account concerning Adamic Israel we find the long chapters of Israel genealogy plus all the extensive famous stories of our ancestors' renown that we have read about. Not so with the other racial people of the world. Other than when they came in contact with Israel there just isn't much recorded about them. And likewise their creation is painted with a very broad general brush that includes the flora and fauna of our world. They were created on "day six" in Genesis 1:24 & 25 along with all the "living creatures after his kind" (vs 24), "and God made the beast of the Earth after his kind --- and God saw that it was good" (vs 25). Two things to clarify here: the "days" of the Genesis creation were not literal 24 hour days but were age periods of millions of years each, and, the word "beast" in these verses is *chay* (*Strong's #H2416,2417*) and merely means "living creature, living thing".

In a brief capsule record the Bible statement is that bipeds are grouped as 1)Adamics and also, 2) other living creations of the world. In our English Bibles they are 1) Man 2) Beasts -- but we need to reiterate that "beast", the Hebrew *chay*, was not meant to carry a negative meaning. It does not mean that non-adamic bipeds created on day six are animals, but states the fact that these people arrived on Earth during the same general time period that God created the multitude of animals "after his kind". The animals are also grouped within the day six parameter as "beasts", that is - living creation.

The non-adamic people created in Genesis 1:24 & 25 had been observers of nature and formed their religions upon aspects of nature worship. For this reason Yahweh God referred to them by "creature names" according to their religions. This is not unusual in Bible language of Hebrew and Greek since God has done the same with His Adamic people who over a long span of time became Christians. Christians of the Adamic Israel race are called sheep many times (Ps.95:7; Jn.10:27, etc.), yet Christian Israel bipeds are not animals/sheep in the literal sense. We find the same with God's reference to non-adamic bipeds in His calling them "beasts" according to their devotional beliefs. In Genesis 2:19 & 20 we see the first time that God refers to the dark non-adamics as "beast of the field". Christ Himself said that the "field is the world" (Mat.13:38). Therefore the term "beast of the field" Scripturally means "living creations of the world". It is a term that can apply to people created on day six before adamites were created or it can apply to any other life form of living creature that inhabits the planet. The context determines whether the "living created being" is a person or is an animal.

Obviously, none of the created races of Earth are animals, but only one race is adamic, and there are natural in-born differences between the several groups of people. In referring to the different created racial groups by their natural propensities, thinking, and actions (such as white Christians being “sheep”) we find Genesis 2:19 & 20 with the non-adamic people symbolically described by their nature worship religions:

Genesis 2

19: “And out of the ground the Yahweh God formed every beast of the field, and every fowl of the air; and brought them unto Adam to see what he would call them: and whatsoever Adam called every living creature, that was the name thereof.

20: And Adam gave names to all cattle, and to the fowl of the air, and to every beast of the field; but for Adam there was not found an help meet for him.”

Are we really supposed to believe that God brought Guernsey cows, Texas Longhorns, humming birds, ostriches, parrots, wildebeests, zebras, coyotes, raccoons, and chickens, before Adam to see if one of them would make a good wife help meet for him? But if Adam in his loneliness marginally entertained the possibility of taking a wife from the non-adamic population who could converse, handle family chores, and provide company, then Yahweh would have to explain to Adam why this would not be permitted.

In this account of the “beast” bipeds we have the three major primitive and archaic religions of the world. The cattle people are the primary religion of Hindu with their worship of cows as sacred and the entire philosophy entertained therewith. The fowl of the air people are akin to the American Indian religion, migrating earlier from Asia, who displayed their religious affinity to eagles, hawks, etc. with their chiefs and shamans wearing head dresses of ornate fowl feathers. The classic beast of the field religion is that of the African plains tribes that showed status of their leaders who wore garb of leopard, lion, cheetah skins especially relevant in the voodoo ceremonies. It was from these “living creations of the world” people that Yahweh impressed upon Adam that none of their females would suffice as his help mate. “And Adam gave names to all cattle, and to the fowl of the air, and to every beast of the field; but for Adam there was not found an help meet for him.” The context is religious as well as racial. “Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness?” (2 Cor.6:14)

Thus far we grasp that the Bible account of created “living creatures” (Hebrew *chay*, *beasts*) of the day six period is vague, general, and can include bipeds as well as quadrupeds depending of the context of a given Scripture verse. For example, the nations of people that were caused to serve Nebuchadnezzar were called “beasts of the field” even though other verses use the term to mean four legged animals as well.

“And now have I given all these lands into the hand of Nebuchadnezzar the king of Babylon, my servant; and the beasts of the field have I given him also to **serve** him.”
Jeremiah 27:6 “beast of the field” here is *chay* (Strong’s #H2416, living creature)

8: And it shall come to pass, that the nation and kingdom which will not **serve** the same Nebuchadnezzar the king of Babylon, and that will not put their neck under the yoke of the king of Babylon, that nation will I punish, saith Yahweh, with the sword, and with the famine, and with the pestilence, until I have consumed them by his hand.

Chay (Str #H2416, living creature, beast) is also used for non-adamic foreign armies as the word troop. That is to say, “beast armies” of biped soldiers.

2Samuel 23

11: “And after him was Shammah the son of Agee the Hararite. And the Philistines were gathered together into a troop (*chay*), where was a piece of ground full of lentiles: and the people fled from the Philistines.” (the Philistines were people but they were *chay*)

13: “And three of the thirty chief went down, and came to David in the harvest time unto the cave of Adullam: and the troop (*chay*) of the Philistines pitched in the valley of Rephaim.” (the Philistines = *chay* = beasts = created beings in the world = biped troops)

Another Hebrew word that can be applied to bipeds, quadrupeds, or *beasts* collectively, is the word *behema* at Strong’s #H929. *Behema*, like *chay*, can also apply to bipeds or quadrupeds depending on a particular usage in Scripture. Only a few Bible scholars with unabashed fortitude have acknowledged this actuality, with the majority of Bible dictionaries stating that *behema* only describes four legged cattle. We shall see the error of this assumption shortly as we note the Bible. Since we all agree that cattle are four legged beasts within the verses that call them *behema*, it would be redundant to quote those verses. True, *behema* can be quadrupeds and that includes cattle. But what about bipeds otherwise known as people? Does the Bible tell us that *behema* is a word used in ancient Hebrew to describe families of people created by God on day six before God created adam and Adam? Yes most certainly.

When the Israelites were encamped close to Mt. Sinai where Yahweh spoke with Moses we read that the people were forbidden to touch the mount.

Exodus 19

13: “There shall not an hand touch it, but he shall surely be stoned, or shot through; whether it be beast or man, it shall not live: when the trumpet soundeth long, they shall come up to the mount.”

The word “beast” in this verse is *behema* (Strong’s # H929) however these “beasts” had hands like a man. “Hand” here is the word *yad* (Strong’s # 3027). These people were either non-adamic servants or a scattered few of the “mixed multitude” that came out of Egypt with Israel. “And a mixed multitude went up also with them; and flocks, and herds, even very much cattle.” (Exodus 12:38) A *behema* of a mixed multitude person would have a hand, but a *behema* of cattle would not have hands but rather hoofs, with the prohibition being against a touching by hands. This was before the national law was given by God, since after Israel understood the law it was realized that the mixed multitude and Israel must be separated. “Now it came to pass, when they had heard the law, that they separated from Israel all the mixed multitude.” (Neh. 13:3)

Then we have the reference about “beasts/behema” at Nineveh in the days of Jonah that were required to wear sackcloth and cry unto God just as men were required to do. The people of Nineveh were admonished to fast and seek God in humbleness for fear of Yahweh’s wrath falling upon the city. Jonah chapter 3, verses :

5: “So the **people** of Nineveh believed God, and proclaimed a fast, and put on sackcloth, from the greatest of them even to the least of them.

8: But let *man* (adam) and *beast* (behema) be covered with sackcloth, and cry mightily unto God: yea, let them turn every one from his evil way, and from the violence that is in their hands.

9: Who can tell if God will turn and repent, and turn away from his fierce anger, that we perish not?”

We see from this account that the people were “man and beast” who were commanded to put on sackcloth and cry to God. *Behema* therefore does not only refer to quadruped cattle. There is not a single reference in the Bible that records that people ever dressed cows in repentant sack cloth, and expected them to have enough intelligence to regret violence they may have committed.

There are other sections of the Bible from which to extrapolate more discussion about man and beast who are both bipeds, but the foregoing should suffice to breach the topic and demonstrate from the word of God that the modern denominations are greatly amiss in what they teach their congregations. And what they teach their congregations concerning racial matters is only bringing the distinct probability of a world wide social

collapse with untold misery upon all the different families of people on Earth. May the mercy and truth of Yahweh God save us all before that happens.

Conclusion

The Holy Scriptures teach that “man” means *white adamic people* created in Genesis 1:26 and 2:7. “Beasts, beast of the Earth, beast of the field” simply means *living creations upon the Earth* in a wide application of the term, including various creatures or quadrupeds or bipeds as accounted for in Genesis 1:24,25, with other acknowledgment throughout the Bible such as Genesis 2:19 or 3:1; etc.

This treatise is merely a study concerning impartial definitions of some Bible words as derived from original meanings of Scripture. The reader is free to do what he/she wishes to do with the presented information.

[Faith of the Covenant Fellowship Home Page](#)